

RFC8806 – is it enough?

Wes Hardaker

November 15, 2021

Background

RFC8806

- Creates a local copy of the root zone
- Protects against root outages
- Helps in low-latency situations
 - especially with negative answers
- Works because of DNSSEC data signing

LocalRoot

- Disclaimer: My pet project
- Caches more than just the root
 - including .arpa

- My questions today:
 - Is the root (RFC8806) enough?
 - What other zones are worth caching?

Testing root outages

Conducting an experiment: no root

Testing 8806

- 1. start a local resolver with RFC8806
- 2. remove access to the root
- 3. perform network tests

Testing LocalRoot

- 1. start a local resolver with LocalRoot
- 2. remove access to the root
- 3. perform network tests

First, we remove access to the roots

DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	198.41.0.4
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	199.9.14.201
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	192.33.4.12
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	199.7.91.13
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	192.203.230.10
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	192.5.5.241
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	192.112.36.4
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	198.97.190.53
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	192.36.148.17
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	192.58.128.30
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	193.0.14.129
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	199.7.83.42
DROP	all	 0.0.0.0/0	202.12.27.33

Wes Hardaker RFC8806 – is it enough? 4/

Testing traceroutes:

We perform a simple test with traceroute:

traceroute www.nlnetlabs.nl

Traceroute with RFC8806

```
traceroute to www.nlnetlabs.nl (185.49.140.10), 30 hops max, 60 byte packets
1 router (10.0.0.1) 0.234 ms 0.137 ms 0.122 ms
2 68.78.72.22 (68.78.72.22) 1.221 ms 1.622 ms 1.982 ms
3 * * *
4 71.147.199.98 (71.147.199.98) 24.887 ms 25.339 ms 25.970 ms
5 12.122.160.166 (12.122.160.166) 29.491 ms 31.944 ms 37.875 ms
   12.122.2.78 (12.122.2.78) 32.974 ms 33.828 ms 34.275 ms
   12.122.114.29 (12.122.114.29) 37.531 ms 22.482 ms 24.600 ms
   192,205,32,98 (192,205,32,98) 25,185 ms 40,611 ms 41,062 ms
   89.149.141.206 (89.149.141.206) 170.043 ms 171.549 ms 171.975 ms
10 134,222,152,117 (134,222,152,117) 174,312 ms 174,725 ms 176,587 ms
11 94.247.72.20 (94.247.72.20) 177.019 ms 177.449 ms 177.914 ms
12 94.247.72.38 (94.247.72.38) 178.330 ms 183.420 ms 184.446 ms
13 185.49.141.2 (185.49.141.2) 183.931 ms 183.343 ms 170.473 ms
```

Wes Hardaker RFC8806 – is it enough? 6/9

Traceroute with LocalRoot

3 * * *

```
6 12.122.2.78 (12.122.2.78) 52.605 ms 55.830 ms 55.256 ms
7 sffca402igs.ip.att.net (12.122.114.29) 57.976 ms 29.267 ms 26.576 ms
8 192.205.32.98 (192.205.32.98) 28.053 ms 28.856 ms 28.348 ms
9 ae3.cr2-ams3.ip4.gtt.net (89.149.141.206) 163.865 ms 163.170 ms 164.986 ms
10 134.222.152.117 (134.222.152.117) 165.646 ms 166.887 ms 168.106 ms
11 94.247.72.20 (94.247.72.20) 169.277 ms 170.614 ms 172.276 ms
12 cs01.vlan202.mx3.infracom.nl (94.247.72.38) 174.968 ms 177.042 ms 175.867 ms
```

traceroute to www.nlnetlabs.nl (185.49.140.10), 30 hops max, 60 byte packets

1 router (10.0.0.1) 0.231 ms 0.149 ms 0.204 ms

2 68.78.72.22 (68.78.72.22) 0.939 ms 1.410 ms 2.003 ms

4 71.147.199.98 (71.147.199.98) 25.840 ms 26.260 ms 27.169 ms 5 12.122.160.166 (12.122.160.166) 49.940 ms 52.329 ms 50.310 ms

Wes Hardaker RFC8806 – is it enough? 7/ 9

usg.nlnetlabs.nl (185.49.141.2) 176.210 ms 159.966 ms 184.618 ms

Questions

Conclusions lead to Questions

- When network troubles arise:
 - What services do we need?
 - e.g. debugging network issues without reverse DNS may be trickier
- What other services may get impacted?
 - Anti-spam techniques do reverse lookups
 - When names don't match, your SPAM score goes up
 - Are you more likely to have E-Mail regarded as spam?

Question: What else can go wrong?

- Are there other globally critical zones?
 - EG: .com
 - (unlikely to ever be cachable)
 - using NSEC aggressive is the best we can do
 - Any SLD or other "critical" domains?
- Are there regional concerns?
 - CCTLDs are the obvious one